

Appl. No. 10/798,599
Amdt. dated June 2, 2005
Reply to Office Action of May 20, 2005


PATENT

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 16-30 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as allegedly being unpatentable over claims 1-15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,728,850. It is noted with appreciation that the claims are otherwise allowable. A terminal disclaimer is submitted herewith to address the obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

It is acknowledged that the examiner has accepted the drawings as filed on March 10, 2004.

Respectfully submitted,


George B. F. Yee
Reg. No. 37,478

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP
Two Embarcadero Center, Eighth Floor
San Francisco, California 94111-3834
Tel: 650-326-2400
Fax: 415-576-0300
Attachment
GBFY:cm
60506308 v1